Performia

White Paper

How Component-Based Architecture Makes ICM Work





Seasoned technology leader with over 25 years of global experience leading engineering and product teams across enterprise software, cloud, and data platforms. He has held senior roles at GE Digital, Datometry, and Informatica, driving innovation in IoT, AI, and scalable systems. At Performio, he leads the technology strategy, combining deep technical expertise with a customerfirst approach to transform sales performance management.

By Dmitri Korablev, Chief Technology Officer at Performio

Traditionally, companies had to choose: usability or flexibility in their incentive compensation management (ICM) system. In 2025, that trade-off is obsolete. <u>Component-based architecture</u> makes it possible to get both—power and ease. This paper explains what it is, how it enables RevOps teams to move faster with fewer resources, and why it's the foundation for scalable, no-compromise incentive compensation.

OVERVIEW

No more trade-offs: Power and usability in ICM

Component-based architecture eliminates the legacy dilemma between usability and flexibility, delivering both in a single system. \rightarrow

Speed without sacrifice: Incentive plan and program changes in hours, not weeks

Reusable logic blocks let RevOps teams roll out and adjust comp plans fast, without custom code or vendor dependency. \rightarrow

Scale with confidence: One architecture, infinite configurations

From 50 reps to 5,000, component-based architecture supports complex, global plans without multiplying admin overhead. \rightarrow

Trust by design: Transparent, auditable, and accurate

Encapsulated logic components reduce risk, increase visibility, and ensure comp statements are trusted across teams. \rightarrow

Future-proof architecture for strategic advantage

Just as ERP evolved from monoliths to microservices, ICM is evolving into a composable, high-agility system. \rightarrow

The ease-of-use vs. power tradeoff

If you've ever been responsible for a sales compensation system, you've probably faced a familiar dilemma: choose software that's easy for admins to use, or choose one that's powerful enough to model your business logic. Rarely both.

Most incentive compensation platforms fall into two camps. The "user-friendly" ones look great in a demo but start to break as soon as your comp plans get even slightly nonstandard. The "powerful" ones promise unlimited flexibility if you're willing to commit to custom code, opaque formulas, and a long-term relationship with professional services. Neither is a great fit for how modern RevOps teams work.

Spreadsheets become the escape hatch. Workarounds pile up. Plan changes slow down. And eventually, teams are left managing their most sensitive operational data: compensation, with a system that no one fully trusts.

But it doesn't have to be this way. Just as we've seen with modern ERP and automation platforms, the path forward lies in smart abstraction. Component-based architectures let us rethink the foundations—separating complexity from chaos, and delivering configurability without compromise.

rview Participants	Active plan 🕐 💽 🖉 Cancel Update plan
Plan details	Target Based Incentive New AFR
Plan name Account Executive Plan FY24	Target Based Incentive Services Revenue
Description (optional)	Commission per Credit Multiyear Kicker
2024 Main Account Executive Comp Plan. Focused on hunting new company revenue, while also maintaining a 25% services attach rate. Includes a bonus for booking multiyear contracts.	ADD A PLAN COMPONENT
Plan group (optional)	Target Based Incentive Commission per Credit
Account Executives	Σ Sum
Start date (optional) Start date (optional) 01/01/2024 X Image: Control of the start date (optional)	104 Formula ↓ Custom Script
	Duplicate existing component
	Crediting components Add crediting component Search tableQ @ Perticipant credit
	⊙ Data source ∨ ⊙ Credit Collect 🖄 Team credit
	🗎 Effective end date $\ \lor$
	Crediting component
	Peres Pall demonstration Roll-up credit
	Bonus - Roll down manager bonus

Component architecture in practice:

Modular complexity without the code, powered by Perfomio

Performio's Plan Builder enables users to assemble sophisticated compensation logic tiered commissions, quotas, direct and indirect crediting, and credit splits using modular components. No custom code or nested formulas. Just intuitive dropdowns and filters.

PAGE 4

Breaking the trade-off with a component-based approach

Component-based architecture solves a foundational enterprise challenge: how do you let users model sophisticated logic accurately, safely, and at scale—without burying them in the task of detangling and maintaining that logic?

In incentive compensation, that means moving beyond brittle formulas and hardcoded rules. Instead, plans are built using *modular components*: self-contained blocks that each handle a specific piece of logic, such as calculating quota attainment, applying tiered rates, assigning credit splits, or managing clawbacks. These components can be configured in the UI, reused across plans, and combined in countless ways to reflect the unique shape of your comp strategy.

Because components are shared across teams, geographies, and product lines, they drive consistency, simplify testing, and reduce risk. When one element changes—say, a commission rate—it's updated once and reflected everywhere it's used.

The difference is structural. Traditional ICM systems are like poured concrete. Once the logic sets, it's rigid and fragile. Making changes is slow, risky, and often disruptive. Component-based systems work more like LEGO[™] bricks—built from standardized parts that are easy to rearrange, extend, and understand. You maintain structure where it matters and flexibility where it's needed most.

This isn't just a product enhancement—it's a shift in architectural philosophy. Just as enterprise platforms moved from monoliths to microservices, incentive comp is moving from dense configuration to composable logic. Component-based architecture makes that shift possible.

In practice, this means real operational agility. Say you're rolling out a new product line. In a legacy system, you'd likely need to duplicate logic, write all new formulas, and hope you don't introduce regressions. In a component-based system, you simply reuse the existing tiered crediting logic, apply a product filter, and go live.

No code. No downtime. No reinvention.

And for teams ready to go a step further, component-based systems support building your own reusable components—custom logic blocks that encapsulate the unique nuances of your business. Think of it like 3D-printing your own LEGO bricks: you're no longer limited to the standard set. You can define new structures that exactly match your compensation model, and then reuse them with the same ease and safety as built-in components. This unlocks another level of productivity—power users can create abstractions, and the rest of the team can configure plans faster, with more confidence and less risk.

Performio's platform was built from the ground up around this model. Our component library encodes over two decades of compensation logic into flexible, business-ready modules. Here are a few examples:

Rate Card components

Define and reuse tiered commission bands across plans. Change once, apply everywhere.

Quota Achievement components

Model performance against multiple goals, with built-in accelerators and reusable logic.

Crediting components

Support structures like split credit, team overlays, and overlays, while remaining fully auditable.

Because these components are context-aware and modular, you can make changes globally or clone and customize them as needed, without creating hidden dependencies or duplicating logic. This is the same principle we've seen succeed in platforms like Workday and ServiceNow. Abstract the complexity, expose only what users need, and empower teams to build detailed structures—quickly, safely, and without friction.

rch table	Crediting type \vee O Data so	urce V 🛇 Credit collecti	on ~	Effective dates	
Crediting component	Crediting type	Data source	Credit Collection	Start date End date	2025-01-01 2025-12-31
Bonus - Roll down manager bonus pool	Roll down credit indirect		Annual Bonus	Data source	
New ACV - Account Executive	Participant credit Direct	Stage Salesforce Oppo	New ACV	Source table Event Name	Stage Salesforce Opportunity Opportunity Name
New ACV - Participant	Participant credit Direct	Stage Salesforce Oppo	New ACV	Event Value Opportunity Type	Opportunity CloseDate Opportunity ACV Opportunity Type
New ACV - Roll Up AEs to Sales Managers	Roll up credit Indirect		New ACV	Event details	
New ACV - Sales Engineer	Participant credit Direct	Stage Salesforce Oppo	New ACV	Identifier Matching attribute	Opportunity Ownerd participant.eid
New ACV - Upsell SPIF	Credit on another credit Direct	Salesforce Opportunity	New ACV	Credit value type Credit value Value Modifier	Currency Opportunity ACV Not applied
				Splits	Automatically allocate all Participant & Event Splits
				∓ Eligibility Job title groups	Account Executive BDR Manager Business Development Representative
				Filter	opportunity_stagename = "Closed Won"
				Close	Edit component

Component architecture in practice:

Simplifying complexity, powered by Performio

Performio empowers compensation admins with no-code control over plan design and applying eligibility rules, aka crediting. Tasks that are painful in rule or formula systems at Performio are accessible through a guided workflow. IT bottlenecks not required.

Handling complexity without compromise

Incentive compensation is inherently complex, and for good reason. As your business grows, so does the variety of sales roles, product lines, geographies, and customer types you need to support. Complexity isn't the problem. The problem is how traditional systems handle it.

The Forrester Wave[™]: Sales Performance Management Solutions For Incentive Compensation, Q1 2025 put it plainly:

"Companies that get the most from SPM/ICM solutions use their capabilities to support the complexity needed to build the best plan for each role—without increasing administrative costs."

That's the key. The goal isn't to eliminate complexity—it's to manage it without compromise.

In compensation systems, there are really two types of complexity:

- **Business-driven (good) complexity:** Legitimate differences in how teams sell—different quotas, roles, crediting structures, or compensation strategies. It's a healthy sign of growth and maturity.
- System-imposed (bad) complexity: The kind that creeps in when your tooling can't keep up. Duplicate plans, layered conditionals, and endless workarounds that exist only because the system wasn't designed to scale.

Let's take a simple example: a SaaS company with three sales teams—SMB, mid-market, and enterprise.

Each team has a distinct comp model:

- **SMB reps** earn flat-rate commissions with bonus triggers.
- Mid-market reps have accelerators based on overachievement.
- Enterprise reps receive multi-year credit and team overlays.

This is business-driven complexity—it aligns incentives with how each segment sells. But in a legacy ICM system, implementing these differences often means duplicating logic, manually layering on rules, and building bespoke configurations that are hard to test, audit, or change.

A component-based system flips that. The underlying logic—crediting, quota attainment, rate tables—remains consistent across plans. It's just parameterized differently. When policies change, you update one component, and the change flows through every plan that uses it. You manage complexity without letting it metastasize.

This doesn't just reduce effort—it improves clarity. Admins can see how each plan is structured, what drives payouts, and how changes will cascade before they're deployed. It creates transparency for operations and confidence across the organization.

Ultimately, this is what flexibility should look like: the ability to model sophisticated incentive strategies without accruing technical debt or introducing hidden risk.

erview Participants					
Plan details			ୖ	Target Based Incentive New ARR	
Plan name			ୖ	Target Based Incentive Services Revenue	
Account Executive Plan FY24					🗹 Edit
Description (optional)			(3)	Commission per Credit Multiyear Kicker	Duplicate
2024 Main Account Executive Con hunting new company revenue, v 25% services attach rate. Includes multiyear contracts.	mp Plan. Focused on while also maintaining a s a bonus for booking				U Delete
Plan group (optional)					
Account Executives					
Start date (optional)	Start date (optional)				
01/01/0004 × 🗎	12/31/2024 X	-			

Component architecture in practice:

Scale with structure, powered by Performio

Performio supports global, role-based, and segment-specific scaling through reusable components. Clone a full plan structure, tweak a few parameters, and launch—without rebuilding or revalidating any crediting and payout logic if those rules are the same.

Comp admin self-service and scaling

One of the most overlooked sources of friction in <u>incentive compensation</u> isn't the logic—it's the maintenance.

In too many organizations, even minor comp plan changes require opening tickets, looping in IT, or waiting for vendor resources. The result? Delays, bottlenecks, and a compensation strategy that reacts slowly, when it should be driving momentum.

Component-based architecture changes that. By abstracting logic into modular, reusable components—and exposing them through a clear, intuitive UI—RevOps teams have direct control. No code. No gatekeeping. Just full-cycle plan ownership, end to end.

This is what real self-service looks like. Not limited tweaks. Not restricted sandboxes. But full modeling, testing, deployment, and iteration—all within the business function. The result is speed and clarity. Here's how that plays out in real scenarios:

- Rolling out a mid-quarter incentive for an underperforming product line? Clone a proven program, tweak the filter, and deploy it in hours—not weeks.
- Adjusting crediting logic after a reorg? Update a single component, and the change flows through every relevant plan.
- Testing the impact of a new quota structure? Clone the current logic into a sandbox, model the change, and preview outcomes before pushing live.



Forrester described Performio as:

"The solution provides an overall ecosystem that enables administrators to operationalize sophisticated compensation processes."

That recognition underscores how modern architecture, like the one we'll explore in this paper, is not just a technical improvement, but a strategic advantage.

Download Report

This kind of agility isn't theoretical—it's operational. It's how compensation keeps up with changing sales priorities instead of slowing them down. Just as important, this level of control builds confidence. Each component is tested and encapsulated, so changes are localized and predictable. Admins know exactly what's impacted. No guesswork. No ripple effects. No reactive firefighting.

This confidence reduces friction and it compounds productivity across the business. Plan changes no longer rely on technical teams. GTM shifts don't stall in a backlog. Finance and HR aren't waiting for system updates. Everyone moves faster because RevOps owns the system outright.

And it scales. Whether you're managing 50 reps or 5,000, the architecture stays consistent. Logic stays clean. Performance stays fast. The system grows with you without becoming harder to manage.

But scale isn't just about headcount. For RevOps, it means more plans, more complexity, and more data all of it changing faster. New sales roles with unique logic. Expanding product lines. Territory realignments. Quotas adjusted every quarter. Each layer adds operational load and without the right systems, that load compounds.

Component-based architecture is built for this kind of scale. Each component encapsulates not just logic, but well-tuned data processing patterns, optimized through years of realworld compensation data. As plans grow, performance holds steady and changes stay isolated. You're not recalculating the world every time you update a plan.

Equally important: observability is built in. Each component comes instrumented with telemetry, so teams can track processing time, identify bottlenecks, and monitor plan behavior in real time. You're not guessing where things slow down—you're watching it live. That visibility turns growth from a risk into a measurable, manageable process.

Imagine your company enters a new region with local tax regulations, a different currency, and distinct sales structures. Instead of building a new plan from scratch, you reuse proven components—like tiered commission logic and quota attainment models—then localize parameters for currency, tax policy, and crediting rules. Throughout the rollout, you monitor performance in real time using built-in dashboards. That's scale with confidence: fast execution, localized compliance, and complete transparency.

And that's the real win—when your business can adapt quickly, with confidence, and without compromise.

Step 3 of 3 Configure payable & rates		
Earnings rules		
Define how payments will be paid to the participant.	OTC weighting (?)	20
Remove payable	OTC frequency 💿	Annually
	OTC weight override 🕖	Select table (optional)
	Achievement frequency ⑦	Annually
	Payment frequency ()	Quarterly
	True-Up adjustment (2)	Disabled Default
	Nagativa pavahla	Disabled Default

Component architecture in practice:

Managing complex logic without custom code, powered by Performio

Need to roll out changes mid-year? Performio's architecture lets admins edit a component, like updating a commission rate or eligibility condition, and see the changes cascade across all connected plans. Fast, safe, and frictionless.

Reliability and accuracy benefits

Incentive compensation is one of the few systems in an organization where trust is non-negotiable. If the numbers are wrong, people notice immediately. Sales reps lose confidence. Finance burns cycles chasing errors. Leadership questions whether incentives are actually aligned with outcomes.

This is why accuracy and reliability aren't optional in ICM—they're foundational. And this is exactly where component-based architecture provides a structural advantage.

In legacy systems, comp logic tends to live in raw formulas or deeply nested rule trees. Over time, those opaque layers become brittle. Teams stop trusting the configuration, and even small changes can create cascading issues that are hard to spot, harder to test, and nearly impossible to fix under pressure.

By contrast, component-based systems bring clarity to every layer of the compensation engine. Each component encapsulates a single, well-defined piece of logic. That logic is transparent, auditable, and reusable—so everyone from RevOps to Finance to Legal can understand how plans are calculated and where changes are made. Manual formulas are prone to human error—typos, misreferences. By reducing manual coding, component-based systems drastically reduce the chances of error compared to typing out every formula.

That reduction in human error matters—because it reduces disputes, speeds up resolution, and protects the integrity of the payroll process. Let's say there's a question about a mid-quarter payout. In a legacy system, someone's digging through spreadsheets or reverse-engineering formulas. In a component-based model, the admin can inspect the relevant block, verify the inputs, and confirm the outcome in minutes. It's not just faster —it's defensible.

And when logic needs to evolve—say, to change how returns affect crediting—that update can be rolled out across every relevant plan, globally and consistently. No version drift. No missed edge cases.

From an audit and compliance standpoint, that level of control is a game-changer. You can trace exactly how a calculation was performed, when a rule changed, and what logic was active at any point in time.

But maybe the biggest benefit is trust. Reps stop second-guessing their statements. Finance stops playing defense. And RevOps shifts from patching issues to proactively managing incentives.

Accuracy isn't just about correct math. It's about confidence. And confidence is what this architecture delivers—by design.

Managing complexity without compromise

For too long, incentive compensation has forced a false choice: usability or flexibility. Pick one, live with the trade-offs, and prepare for workarounds.

Component-based architecture makes that choice obsolete. By breaking compensation logic into modular, reusable parts, modern ICM systems deliver both power and simplicity. They empower RevOps teams to build and evolve plans without bottlenecks, support real-world business complexity without ballooning admin costs, and deliver accuracy you can trust at scale.

This isn't about abstract architecture. It's about building systems that move at the speed of your business. That adapt as roles shift, regions grow, and products launch. That give admins the tools they need to act quickly—and the confidence to act correctly.

Performio was named a Strong Performer in <u>The Forrester Wave™:</u> <u>Sales Performance Management Solutions for Incentive Compensation,</u> <u>Q1 2025</u>, which validates what our customers have known for years: Performio dramatically simplifies incentive compensation management. While many ICM platforms are difficult to navigate and require extensive external support, Forrester calls Performio an "easy-to-use solution that allows administrators to make updates while having strong support available when they need help."

Unlike platforms that require vendor intervention for every change, Performio empowers teams with a largely self-serve experience. From intuitive workflows to flexible plan-building tools, the platform is designed to put administrators in control—reducing costs and accelerating time to value.

As Forrester noted, **"Workflow is the driver that makes Performio** easy to use. The solution provides an overall ecosystem that enables administrators to operationalize sophisticated compensation processes."

For RevOps leaders, the upside is real: faster plan updates, fewer disputes, and full transparency into what's driving your incentive programs. No code. No vendor dependency. No compromise.

Incentive compensation should be a growth enabler, not an operational liability. With component-based ICM, and Performio's proven platform, it finally can be.